Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



New Haven School District

Mr. Garth Harries, Superintendent • 203-946-8888 • www.nhps.net/

District Information

| Grade Range | PK-12 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Number of Schools/Programs | 64 |
| Enrollment | 21,712 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures ¹ | \$15,882 |
| Total Expenditures ¹ | \$340,402,415 |

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2015)

(2015® The College Board)

Contents

| Students | 1 |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Educators | 2 |
| Instruction and Reso | ources 2 |
| Performance and Ad | countability4 |
| Narratives | 7 |

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

| October 1, 2014 Enrollment | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | District | State | | |
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) | | |
| Female | 10,729 | 49.4 | 48.3 | | |
| Male | 10,983 | 50.6 | 51.6 | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 38 | 0.2 | 0.2 | | |
| Asian | 496 | 2.3 | 4.7 | | |
| Black or African American | 9,091 | 41.9 | 12.9 | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 8,729 | 40.2 | 22.1 | | |
| Pacific Islander | 13 | 0.1 | 0.0 | | |
| Two or More Races | 124 | 0.6 | 2.5 | | |
| White | 3,221 | 14.8 | 57.2 | | |
| English Language Learners | 3,080 | 14.2 | 6.3 | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 12,743 | 58.7 | 37.6 | | |
| Students with Disabilities ¹ | 2,708 | 12.5 | 13.3 | | |

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

| | Chronic | | Suspension/ | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| | Absenteeism ² | | Expulsion ³ | |
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 2,440 | 25.1 | 599 | 5.5 |
| Male | 2,613 | 26.1 | 1,322 | 11.9 |
| Black or African American | 2,214 | 27.0 | 1,231 | 13.4 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 2,248 | 27.8 | 539 | 6.1 |
| White | 521 | 18.2 | 126 | 3.9 |
| English Language Learners | 804 | 25.5 | 162 | 5.1 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 3,602 | 31.4 | 1,342 | 10.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 895 | 34.1 | 433 | 14.8 |
| District | 5,053 | 25.6 | 1,921 | 8.8 |
| State | | 10.6 | | 7.2 |

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 8,973

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

| | FTE |
|--|---------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 1,382.1 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 725.3 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 209.0 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 134.8 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | |
| District Central Office | 27.0 |
| School Level | 118.4 |
| Library/Media | |
| Specialists (Certified) | 33.0 |
| Support Staff | 1.0 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 127.0 |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 117.0 |
| School Nurses | 33.8 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 869.3 |

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

| | | District | State |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Asian | 37 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Black or African American | 296 | 14.7 | 3.5 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 142 | 7.0 | 3.5 |
| Pacific Islander | 8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| White | 1,531 | 75.9 | 91.8 |

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

| | Percent of Total (%) | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| District | 98.6 | |
| District Poverty Quartile: High | | |
| State High Poverty Quartile Schools | 97.9 | |
| State Low Poverty Quartile Schools | 99.6 | |

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

| | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time | 9.1 | 9.2 |

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School³

| | 11th | | 12th | |
|--|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Black or African American | 266 | 44.0 | 371 | 61.2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 181 | 43.0 | 224 | 58.0 |
| White | 92 | 51.1 | 165 | 73.7 |
| English Language Learners | 39 | 34.8 | 39 | 36.8 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 291 | 41.0 | 417 | 62.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 45 | 26.2 | 71 | 36.8 |
| District | 568 | 45.5 | 798 | 63.3 |
| State | | 58.4 | | 73.8 |

³College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

| | Count | Rate (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Autism | 94 | 39.7 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 109 | 45.8 |
| Intellectual Disability | 69 | 39.7 |
| Learning Disability | 824 | 81.6 |
| Other Health Impairment | 406 | 76.9 |
| Other Disabilities | 40 | 29.2 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 195 | 92.9 |
| District | 1,737 | 68.5 |
| State | | 69.7 |
| | | |

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

| | District | | State |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Autism | 255 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 238 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Intellectual Disability | 182 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Learning Disability | 1,012 | 5.2 | 4.4 |
| Other Health Impairment | 543 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Other Disabilities | 154 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 253 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| All Disabilities | 2,637 | 13.5 | 13.0 |

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

| | District | | State |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 309 | 11.7 | 8.1 |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 80 | 3.0 | 5.4 |

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2013-14

| | | Per F | Pupil | |
|---|-------------|---------------|------------|--|
| | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) | |
| Instructional Staff and Services | 208,691,145 | 9,772 | 9,134 | |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | 8,960,888 | 420 | 334 | |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | 6,063,572 | 284 | 498 | |
| Student Support Services | 4,886,338 | 229 | 1,001 | |
| Administration and Support Services | 36,830,590 | 1,725 | 1,694 | |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | 30,149,243 | 1,412 | 1,572 | |
| Transportation | 24,242,453 | 1,290 | 813 | |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | 16,828,974 | N/A | N/A | |
| Other | 3,749,212 | 176 | 186 | |
| Total | 340,402,415 | 15,882 | 15,289 | |
| Additional Expenditures | | | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | 49,739,834 | 2,329 | 1,272 | |

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

| | Dist | rict | State |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Total (\$) | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| Certified Personnel | 23,439,077 | 37.5 | 35.1 |
| Noncertified Personnel | 3,200,938 | 5.1 | 14.2 |
| Purchased Services | 2,942,467 | 4.7 | 5.2 |
| Tuition to Other Schools | 16,735,467 | 26.8 | 22.0 |
| Special Ed. Transportation | 6,932,325 | 11.1 | 8.6 |
| Other Expenditures | 9,299,475 | 14.9 | 14.9 |
| Total Expenditures | 62,549,749 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Expenditures by Revenue Source:4 2013-14

| | Percent of Total (%) | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Including Excluding | | | | |
| | School | School | | | |
| | Construction | Construction | | | |
| Local | 27.9 | 24.1 | | | |
| State | 62.0 | 64.3 | | | |
| Federal | 8.2 | 9.4 | | | |
| Tuition & Other | 1.9 | 2.2 | | | |

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

| | English Language Arts(ELA) | | Mat | h | Scien | ce |
|--|----------------------------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | Count | DPI | Count | DPI | Count | DPI |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 21 | 61.8 | 21 | 52.1 | * | * |
| Asian | 189 | 75.6 | 198 | 71.6 | 95 | 63.0 |
| Black or African American | 3905 | 52.6 | 3868 | 42.2 | 1803 | 40.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3886 | 53.7 | 3882 | 44.2 | 1627 | 42.7 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | * | * | * |
| Two or More Races | 30 | 52.0 | 31 | 46.4 | 17 | * |
| White | 1321 | 68.9 | 1313 | 58.5 | 646 | 58.1 |
| English Language Learners | 1637 | 47.2 | 1653 | 40.0 | 586 | 34.4 |
| Non-English Language Learners | 7715 | 57.7 | 7660 | 47.3 | 3619 | 46.4 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 5487 | 51.8 | 5462 | 42.2 | 2475 | 40.7 |
| Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 3865 | 61.6 | 3851 | 51.3 | 1730 | 50.5 |
| Students with Disabilities | 1516 | 40.2 | 1518 | 32.4 | 687 | 33.8 |
| Students without Disabilities | 7836 | 58.9 | 7795 | 48.6 | 3518 | 46.8 |
| High Needs | 6442 | 51.1 | 6429 | 41.8 | 2863 | 40.2 |
| Non-High Needs | 2910 | 66.4 | 2884 | 55.2 | 1342 | 54.3 |
| District | 9352 | 55.8 | 9313 | 46.0 | 4205 | 44.7 |

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

| | NAEP | NAEP 2013 | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| READING | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 43% | 43% | 50% |
| National Public | 35% | 33% | 36% |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 41% | 36% | 32% |
| National Public | 39% | 32% | 25% |

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

| | Percent | Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%) | | | | d Grades |
|----------------------|---------|---|------|------|-------|----------|
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Count | Rate (%) |
| Sit & Reach | 75.9 | 75.3 | 73.4 | 76.3 | 4,584 | 75.1 |
| Curl Up | 81.4 | 84.0 | 80.7 | 67.7 | 4,584 | 80.3 |
| Push Up | 64.7 | 64.0 | 65.8 | 62.0 | 4,584 | 64.5 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 66.0 | 69.8 | 58.5 | 51.1 | 4,584 | 63.2 |
| All Tests - District | 39.0 | 41.8 | 38.7 | 37.3 | 4,584 | 39.6 |
| All Tests - State | 50.8 | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.9 | | 51.0 |

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

| | | 2013-14 | | | 2014-15 |
|--|---------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Cohort Count ² | Rate (%) | Target³ (%) | Target Achieved | Target³ (%) |
| Black or African American | 600 | 76.3 | 72.8 | Yes | 75.1 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 454 | 68.7 | 63.6 | Yes | 66.9 |
| English Language Learners | 103 | 57.3 | 55.1 | Yes | 59.4 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 1,068 | 74.5 | 68.9 | Yes | 71.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 169 | 53.3 | 58.4 | No | 62.3 |
| District | 1,309 | 75.5 | 71.4 | Yes | 73.9 |
| State ⁴ | | 87.0 | | | |

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2013 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

| | Participation ⁶ | Meeting E | Benchmark |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 84.4 | 221 | 16.9 |
| Male | 76.5 | 178 | 14.8 |
| Black or African American | 79.5 | 97 | 8.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 77.2 | 97 | 12.0 |
| White | 89.4 | 164 | 40.6 |
| English Language Learners | 63.8 | 8 | 3.7 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 78.5 | 125 | 9.1 |
| Students with Disabilities | 59.3 | * | * |
| District | 80.6 | 399 | 15.9 |
| State | 67.2 | | 37.3 |

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® composite score of 1550 or higher
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- AP® 3 or higher on any one AP® exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2015 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2015 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2015

College Entrance and Persistence

| | Class of 2014 | Class of 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Entrance ⁷ | Persistence ⁸ |
| | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Female | 71.0 | 79.0 |
| Male | 60.8 | 76.8 |
| Black or African American | 66.7 | 77.2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 58.8 | 72.9 |
| White | 76.6 | 85.5 |
| English Language Learners | 54.3 | 78.3 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 65.0 | 77.3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 36.5 | 68.9 |
| District | 66.4 | 78.2 |
| State | 72.6 | 88.8 |

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2013-14 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

| Indi | cator | Index/Rate | Target | Points | Max | % Points | State Average |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------|--------|------|----------|---------------|
| ELA Performance Index | All Students | 55.8 | 75 | 74.5 | 100 | 74.5 | 67.9 |
| ELA Performance index | High Needs Students | 51.1 | 75 | 68.1 | 100 | 68.1 | 56.7 |
| Math Performance Index | All Students | 46.0 | 75 | 61.3 | 100 | 61.3 | 59.3 |
| iviatii Perioriiiante intex | High Needs Students | 41.8 | 75 | 55.8 | 100 | 55.8 | 47.8 |
| Science Performance Index | All Students | 44.7 | 75 | 59.6 | 100 | 59.6 | 56.5 |
| Science Performance index | High Needs Students | 40.2 | 75 | 53.6 | 100 | 53.6 | 45.9 |
| Chronic Abcontociem | All Students | 25.6% | <=5% | 8.7 | 50 | 17.5 | 10.6% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | High Needs Students | 30.0% | <=5% | 0.1 | 50 | 0.1 | 17.3% |
| Preparation for CCR | % Taking Courses | 54.5% | 75% | 36.3 | 50 | 72.6 | 66.1% |
| Preparation for CCN | % Passing Exams | 15.9% | 75% | 10.6 | 50 | 21.2 | 37.3% |
| On-track to High School Grad | duation | 84.7% | 94% | 45.1 | 50 | 90.1 | 85.6% |
| 4-year Graduation All Studer | nts (2014 Cohort) | 75.5% | 94% | 80.3 | 100 | 80.3 | 87.0% |
| 6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort) | | 75.8% | 94% | 80.6 | 100 | 80.6 | 77.6% |
| Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014) | | 66.3% | 75% | 88.4 | 100 | 88.4 | 72.8% |
| Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate) | | 78.1% 39.6% | 75% | 13.2 | 50 | 26.4 | 87.6% 51.0% |
| Arts Access | | 46.3% | 60% | 38.6 | 50 | 77.1 | 45.7% |
| Accountability Index | | | | 774.6 | 1250 | 62.0 | |

| Gap Indicators | Non-High Needs Rate ¹ | High Needs Rate | Size of Gap | State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev² | Is Gap an Outlier? ² |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Achievement Gap Size Outlier? | | | | | N |
| ELA Performance Index Gap | 66.4 | 51.1 | 15.4 | 17.3 | |
| Math Performance Index Gap | 55.2 | 41.8 | 13.4 | 19.6 | |
| Science Performance Index Gap | 54.3 | 40.2 | 14.1 | 17.2 | |
| Graduation Rate Gap | 82.3% | 75.8% | 6.5% | 15.2% | N |

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

 $^{^2}$ If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

| Subject/Subgroup | | Participation Rate (%) |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| ELA | All Students | 94.5 |
| ELA | High Needs Students | 94.5 |
| Math | All Students | 94.5 |
| IVIALII | High Needs Students | 94.8 |
| All Students | | 97.3 |
| Science | High Needs Students | 96.5 |

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: 43.2 State: 50.1

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

In the fall of 2015, NHPS launched an intensive focus on chronic absenteeism to analyze the severity and complexity of the problem both across the larger community and within our schools and to take action to address the problem. We launched, "Attendance Matters," a community effort to draw attention to the problem and build support for our efforts. Our explicit internal actions included a multi-prong approach with a first step of generating and acting on accurate data. The district developed our own new software system we call, TABLEAU. TABLEAU is a complement to our Power Schools software and provides more real time analytics. This has enhanced district-wide collaboration among NHPS office of research and data, IT, dropout prevention specialists, school leaders and school based attendance teams. The district wide collaboration led to prioritization of the development of early warning prevention and intervention systems which identified students who are, or will be at risk of becoming chronically absent before they miss enough school that it is nearly impossible for them to catch up. Our second focus was to ensure coordinated systems of support within schools and community for students who are chronically absent. We worked to implement regular and focused school-based attendance committee meetings that focus and act on individual student intervention needs and study student responses to interventions. With extra emphasis on the k-8 level where chronic absenteeism in 2014-15 was as high as 50% in some elementary grade levels, Dropout Prevention Specialists worked in collaboration with school staff to emphasize attendance education with families. In addition, Judge John Keys Attendance Engagement Clinic expanded this fall from 2 to 3 in our highest needs K-8 Schools. As a result, current '15/'16 comparison data suggests promising progress among our K-8 schools, while high schools remain challenging. Furthermore, YFCE "Attendance Matters" campaign focuses on communities raising public awareness about the causes and effects of chronic absenteeism. In the fall, district staff and community leaders gathered to understand our current absenteeism problem, brainstorm ideas and concepts and review ongoing solutions. We have engaged our parent liaisons and citywide parent leadership to assist. Attendance Matters street banners were placed in key neighborhoods and posters encouraging attendance are located in all schools. Incentives like pizza parties and celebration events are now being offered to schools that improve and are being sponsored by partners like Comcast and Parks and Recreation.

New Haven Public Schools special education department's vision is to provide educational services that enables students to become independent, productive members of society by providing high quality services and support to students with special needs through a multidisciplinary team approach. The goals of the department include:

- 1. Seamless integration/inclusion practices within general education
- The department
- 2. Emphasis on foundational reading/math skills
- 3. Providing quality individualized education plans
- 4. Addressing the social/emotional needs of students
- 5. Expanding assistive technology within instructional practices
- 6. Provide services that will enable students to become college and career ready

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

The New Haven Public Schools (NHPS) system has the one of the largest Choice Programs in the State of Connecticut and it has reduced the racial, ethnic and economic isolation for students in the greater New Haven Region. NHPS offers an array of school options including, neighborhood, Inter- and Intra-district magnet, and Charter schools. NHPS is a member district of Ct. State Department of Education's Open Choice program.

Our schools accommodate the challenges and interests of our diverse population. Each school has a specific theme integrated into a rigorous academic curriculum. Students are chosen through a lottery; most schools have a wait list, which demonstrates how popular these schools have become. This year the Office of Choice and Enrollment aggressively recruited new students by conducting two School Choice Expos, Community Information Sessions, extensive mailing of new brochures, delivery of brochures to all schools in New Haven County, television and radio communications, digital billboards, street banners, and thorough outreach to schools.

All schools hold a late summer "Meet and Greet" orientation for continuing and incoming students that provides both parents and new students with information about their magnet theme. The schools participate in the district-wide School Choice Expos and hold individual follow up open houses at their schools. The magnet schools offer dates for individual tours and classroom visits in the spring and tours throughout the school year to support families. School websites serve as a recruitment tool, a means of parent/community communication, and a study aid for students. New Haven focuses on are to increases student awareness of diversity of individuals and cultures including cultural fairs in many schools across the district, strategically aligned celebrations for Hispanic Heritage Month, Women's History, and Black History. All schools have implemented school wide cultural events and programs hoping to involve parents in their children's achievement and academic needs. These events include STEM nights, International Read Aloud Days, and a Project titled Go Baby Go where students from our magnet schools partnered with Central Connecticut State University to construct mobile cars for disabled children.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

NHPS continues to work with its state and local partners to formulate structures and systems that will allow each school and individual student to reach their potential. The strong data driven academic process is also utilized in the facility management and district operations. NHPS remains a leader in the state with this data driven model and the significant and sustained gains in test scores are reflective of this success. In both the facility and operations areas NHPS has implemented on-line data systems to monitor work orders, food sales and inventories and energy management. New Haven has instituted a site based budgeting process which allows each school to review all budget line items in an effort to provide funding equity as well as to support the portfolio approach where each school can be viewed individually as it seeks to meet its individual goals as well as the District goals. In addition, NHPS has enacted proactive preventative maintenance schedules and long range planning and capital investment reviews. All of these elements will allow for well reasoned and cost effective budget decision making for both the long and short term through data driven analysis which